
PATENT COOPERATION TREATY PCT



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

(Rationalised Report according to the Notice of the President of the EPO published in the OJ11/2001)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	<u> </u>	Can Matification	of Transmittel of International
44394.WO01	FOR FURTHER ACTION		
International application No.	International filing date (day)	month/year) . P	riority date (day month year)
PCT/GB03/01270	25/03/2003	2	25/03/2002
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC			
C07K1/107			
Applicant			
ADPROTECH LIMITED et al.			
been amended and are the h	e applicant according to Article and of sheets, including the ANNEXES, i.e., sheets	g this cover sheet. of the description, of containing rectifical	claims and/or drawings which have tions made before this Authority
These annexes consists of a total of sheets.			
is report contains indications relating to the following items:			
I X Basis of the report			
II Priority			
III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of invention			
V X Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
VI Certain documents cited			
VII Certain defects in the international application			
VIII Certain observations on the international application			
·			
Date of submission of the demand	Dat	e of completion of t	his report
01/07/2003		05/02/200	04
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/		horized officer	A STATE OF THE STA
European Patent Office WEAVER M R			OES BRENZIS.
D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523 Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465	3656 epmu d Tel.	. (+49-89) 2399 282	
Form PCT/IDEA (A00 (cover sheet) P20476	(October 2002)	-	SOUND SOUND SOUND

Basis of the report I.

The basis of this international preliminary examination is the application as originally filed.

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claim references).